

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,703	12/07/2005	Reiner Fischer	CS8689/BCS03-3014	1859
34469 7590 08/27/2009 BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP			EXAMINER	
Patent Departn	Patent Department SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D			DANIELLE D
2 T .W. ALEXANDER DRIVE RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KLSL/IKCII I	ESEARCH TRIMOSELTARR, NC 27705		1616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

blair.wilson@bayercropscience.com pamula.ramsey@bayercropscience.com rebecca.hayes@bayercropscience.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/559,703	FISCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIELLE SULLIVAN	1616	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compiliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late it has three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
 In proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Image: A submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 37-40 and 61.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12.

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 6/28/2006
- 13. Other:

/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment has been entered because they do not add to the burden of examination and do not require further search. The amendment reduces the issues for appeal and do not raise the issue of new matter. Applicants argue that Lieb et al. teach the most preferred embodiments are those in which group y is an optionally substituted phenyl, not a hetapy. Hence, Applicants believe their invention is patentably distinct from Lieb et al., and the Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1,132 is sufficient to overcome the teachings of the prior art. The Examiner is not persuaded by this argument because the comparisons are not made with the closest prior at. MPEP 716.02(e) states that the claimed into must be compared with the closest prior at would be one wherein Y is selected from a hetary as disclosed in the prior art. Hence, because Lieb et al.